

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/814,709	03/31/2004	Arthur Kaesler	7204	
7590 10/18/2005			EXAMINER	
ARTHUR KAESLER			FASTOVSKY, LEONID M	
2085 GILBRID MARTINSVILI	:		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI	
,			3742	
•				_

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/814,709	KAESLER, ARTHUR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leonid M. Fastovsky	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	larch 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/814,709 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 13-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply

filed on 5/26/05.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 13-20 in the reply filed on 5/26/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it does not present undue burden on an Examiner. This is not found persuasive because there are many possible methods of constructing a heating mat and it would present an additional burden on the Examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. In claim 1, line 4, one protective layer comprises only one material, therefore the word "and" should be changed to "or".

Application/Control Number: 10/814,709

Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

6. Claims 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims contain the following subject matter such as "a gell coat layer and a flow coat layer" that was not described in the specification.

7. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the thickness ... approximately 0.5" "and depends from claim 9 that cites the limitation "the thickness ... approximately 1.25".". Therefore, claim 11 should be depended from claim 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dyer (5,380,988).

Dyer discloses an electrically powered mat 10 comprising a resistive foil heating element 25 (col. 6, lines 41-45) and at least one resinous or polymeric plastic layer 14.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,709 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dyer in view of Fener (2,714,416).

Dyer discloses substantially the claimed invention, but does not disclose a nichrome material and a ratio. Fener discloses a heater comprising a heating element 12 or 12b in a form of Nichrome band-foil (col. 5, lines 10-40) having a width between 0.05" and .25", and having a thickness between 0.001" and 0.025".

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Dyer's invention to include a nichrome foil as taught by Fener in order to make more durable and reliable heating element.

As for claim 3, and the limitation of the nichrome material at an 80/20 ratio, it is deemed that the material used for the heating element would be chosen by the user having a desire result in mind. Therefore it would have been obvious to make the heating element made of nichrome at an 80/20 ratio as to obtain the result wanted by the user.

12. Claims 4-12 have not been treated because they depend from claim 4 that contains the subject matter that was not described in the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M. Fastovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-4778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner Art Unit 3742

Imf

I. EDBING EAWR